




United Nations

Nations Unies

**OFFICE OF INTERNAL OVERSIGHT SERVICES
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION**

*This Report is protected by paragraph 18 of
ST/SGB/273 of 7 September 1994*

**DOSSIER INVESTIGATION REPORT INTO ALLEGATIONS OF
SEXUAL EXPLOITATION BY**



REDACTED REPORT

ID Case No. 0796-05



STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

This Investigation Report of the Investigations Division of the United Nations Office of Internal Oversight Services is provided upon your request pursuant to paragraph 1(c) of General Assembly resolution A/RES/59/272. The report has been redacted in part pursuant to paragraph 2 of this resolution to protect confidentiality and sensitive information. OIOS's transmission of this Report does not constitute its publication. OIOS does not bear any responsibility for any further dissemination of the Report.



DATE: [REDACTED]

FROM: [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: **Dossier investigation report into allegations of sexual exploitation by** [REDACTED]

1. On [REDACTED], the former [REDACTED] [REDACTED] received an allegation that [REDACTED] a [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] in the [REDACTED] of the [REDACTED], was involved in sexual relationships with [REDACTED]. The original allegation was forwarded to [REDACTED] from [REDACTED] in the name of [REDACTED] [REDACTED], who claimed to be a former [REDACTED] alleged that [REDACTED] was involved in a sexual relationship with [REDACTED] named [REDACTED] ' [REDACTED]' and [REDACTED]. The matter was subsequently referred to the Investigations Division of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (ID/OIOS) pursuant to General Assembly resolution 59/287 of 21 April 2005.
2. ID/OIOS attempted to locate and interview [REDACTED] to obtain further information; however, neither the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] nor the [REDACTED] had any record of a person named [REDACTED] working for [REDACTED]. Furthermore, attempts to contact the [REDACTED] via [REDACTED], delivered no response.
3. The absence of information on the identity of [REDACTED] made locating the alleged [REDACTED] and potential [REDACTED] an impossible task. One person, a [REDACTED] former [REDACTED] [REDACTED] in [REDACTED], was found to have the same [REDACTED] as one of the [REDACTED] in the complaint, but [REDACTED] denied knowing or being in a relationship with [REDACTED].
4. The ID/OIOS interviewed [REDACTED], a [REDACTED] with the [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] denied having sexual relationships with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] said that the allegation was malicious and attributed the complaint to former disgruntled [REDACTED] in the [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] added that [REDACTED] knew [REDACTED] with the [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] and that [REDACTED] was a [REDACTED] former [REDACTED] whose whereabouts were unknown.

5. In the absence of further information from [REDACTED] or corroborative evidence from potential [REDACTED] the ID/OIOS concludes that the allegation against [REDACTED] is unsubstantiated. Accordingly, the ID/OIOS recommends that the [REDACTED] inform [REDACTED] that the allegation of sexual exploitation against [REDACTED] is unsubstantiated [REDACTED]

6. Your response to this report would be greatly appreciated by [REDACTED] Should you have any questions or comments, please contact [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

