



United Nations

Nations Unies

**OFFICE OF INTERNAL OVERSIGHT SERVICES  
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION**

---

*This Report is protected by paragraph 18 of  
ST/SGB/273 of 7 September 1994*

**INVESTIGATION INTO AN ALLEGATION OF SEXUAL  
EXPLOITATION AND ABUSE AND A [REDACTED] CLAIM  
AGAINST [REDACTED]**

**REDACTED REPORT**

**ID Case No. 0630-06**

**29 MARCH 2007**

**STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL**

This Investigation Report of the Investigations Division of the United Nations Office of Internal Oversight Services is provided upon your request pursuant to paragraph 1(c) of General Assembly resolution A/RES/59/272. The report has been redacted in part pursuant to paragraph 2 of this resolution to protect confidentiality and sensitive information. OIOS's transmission of this Report does not constitute its publication. OIOS does not bear any responsibility for any further dissemination of the Report.

CONFIDENTIAL

United Nations  
INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM



Nations Unies  
MEMORANDUM INTERIEUR

TO: [REDACTED]

THROUGH:  
S/C DE: [REDACTED]

FROM: [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: Investigation into an allegation of sexual exploitation and abuse and a [REDACTED]  
OBJET: claim against [REDACTED]

1. On [REDACTED] the Investigations Division of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (ID/OIOS) received an allegation, from [REDACTED] that a [REDACTED] (hereafter referred to as [REDACTED]) gave birth to a [REDACTED] by [REDACTED] a [REDACTED]. It was alleged [REDACTED] of [REDACTED]

2. During the course of the ID/OIOS investigation of the initial allegation, additional allegations were received, namely that [REDACTED]:

- a. [REDACTED] had been in a relationship with [REDACTED] a [REDACTED] [REDACTED] who had been [REDACTED]
- b. [REDACTED] was in a relationship with [REDACTED] a [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

3. ID/OIOS conducted an investigation of the allegations, which included, but was not limited to the collection and analysis of available documents, interviews with [REDACTED] and other [REDACTED] witnesses. ID/OIOS also facilitated [REDACTED] testing of one [REDACTED]

4. ID/OIOS has concluded its investigation and by this dossier report, found that:

- a. [REDACTED] claimed to have been born in [REDACTED] however, [REDACTED] was unable to substantiate [REDACTED] date of birth. ID/OIOS ascertained, by reviewing the register of a local [REDACTED], that [REDACTED] had declared to that [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] was born in [REDACTED]. ID/OIOS opines that [REDACTED] is over [REDACTED] years of age;

- b. [REDACTED] undertook [REDACTED], namely [REDACTED];
- c. [REDACTED] claimed to have met [REDACTED] (a person known to [REDACTED] as [REDACTED]) in [REDACTED] and subsequently had a consensual sexual relationship with [REDACTED] until [REDACTED] from [REDACTED];
- d. [REDACTED] identified [REDACTED] from a photographic array of [REDACTED] of similar age and appearance, as being the person known to [REDACTED];
- e. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] gave birth, on [REDACTED], to a [REDACTED] named [REDACTED] and that [REDACTED] was the [REDACTED]. However, the birth of [REDACTED] child was not registered, thus no birth certificate exists;
- f. [REDACTED] acknowledged knowing [REDACTED] having met [REDACTED] during [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] but denied having any relationship with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] believed that [REDACTED] had had a relationship with [REDACTED] with whom [REDACTED] worked, at the [REDACTED] and with whom [REDACTED] socialized. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] met [REDACTED] as a result of [REDACTED] alleged relationship with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that, as [REDACTED] did not have a mobile telephone, an arrangement was made between [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] could contact the latter via [REDACTED] mobile telephone. [REDACTED] stated that the first time [REDACTED] received a call, pursuant to this arrangement, from [REDACTED] was sometime in [REDACTED];
- g. [REDACTED] also stated that [REDACTED] was now "falling in love" with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] had recently seen [REDACTED] socializing with [REDACTED] at a [REDACTED] however, [REDACTED] offered no further information as to the basis of [REDACTED] belief that [REDACTED] was "falling in love" with [REDACTED];
- h. [REDACTED] denied knowing [REDACTED] though witnesses told ID/OIOS that [REDACTED] frequented the [REDACTED] which was the same [REDACTED] frequented by [REDACTED] and where [REDACTED] claimed to have met [REDACTED] on occasion;
- i. [REDACTED] told ID/OIOS that [REDACTED] was a friend of [REDACTED] and that [REDACTED] had met [REDACTED] only [REDACTED] once whilst visiting [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] and a [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] told ID/OIOS that [REDACTED] knew [REDACTED] and had socialized with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] on [REDACTED] occasions, including once at a [REDACTED] to which [REDACTED] was [REDACTED] request and told ID/OIOS that [REDACTED] was permitted to do so. However, [REDACTED], the [REDACTED], [REDACTED] told ID/OIOS that it was strictly forbidden to allow [REDACTED] into the [REDACTED] and that it was a clear breach of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that a warning letter had been placed in [REDACTED] accordingly;

j. ██████ stated that ██████ had told ██████ that ██████ was ██████ years of age. ██████ denied any sexual relationship with ██████.

k. ██████ volunteered to provide a ██████ for ██████, which ID/OIOS subsequently facilitated. The test revealed that ██████ was not the ██████ of ██████'s child [████████].

l. ID/OIOS established that ██████ rotated out of ██████ in ██████ and was in ██████. ID/OIOS attempted to locate the ██████ and conduct a telephone interview. ID/OIOS collaborated with the ██████. According to the ██████, ██████ was contacted, but refused to give any statement. The ██████ also mentioned that ██████ is currently working in ██████ for the ██████ and ██████ is under no obligation to testify on the matter. ID/OIOS subsequently attempted to conduct a telephone interview with ██████ in ██████ at the ██████ but the person who answered the telephone, whilst admitting that ██████ name was ██████, denied being ██████ and offered no further assistance.

5. ID/OIOS was unable to establish whether:

a. ██████ had ever been involved in a sexual relationship with ██████. However, ██████ conclusively established that ██████ is not the ██████ of ██████'s child contrary to ██████'s assertions;

b. ██████ or ██████ had ever been involved in a sexual relationship with ██████.

6. ID/OIOS concludes that in the absence of information from ██████ as to the identity of the ██████ of ██████'s child and in the absence of ██████ or acceptance of ██████ by such identified person, it is impossible to establish the involvement, if any, of United Nations personnel in a sexual relationship with ██████ or whether any such relationship was exploitative or abusive.

7. In view of the findings of this investigation, ID/OIOS recommends the following:

Recommendation 1: It is recommended that the ██████ provide a copy of this report to the ██████ to the ██████ to inform them of the circumstances of this case. (████████)

Recommendation 2: It is recommended that the ██████ discuss with the ██████ on the possibility of conducting an interview of ██████ with respect to the allegation raised by ██████ (as detailed at paragraph 4f of this report) and its possible link to ██████ claim. (████████)

8. Your response, by ██████ as to any actions taken or considered to the findings and recommendations of this report would be greatly appreciated. Should you have any

questions or comments on any of the information contained in this report, please do not hesitate to contact [REDACTED]

9. Thank you and best regards.

cc: [REDACTED]

