



United Nations


Nations Unies

**OFFICE OF INTERNAL OVERSIGHT SERVICES  
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION**

---

*This Report is protected by paragraph 18 of  
ST/SGB/273 of 7 September 1994*

**DOSSIER INVESTIGATION REPORT OF A PATERNITY CLAIM  
AND ALLEGED ASSAULT AGAINST**



**REDACTED REPORT**

**ID Case No. 0631-06**

**22 OCTOBER 2007**

**STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL**

This Investigation Report of the Investigations Division of the United Nations Office of Internal Oversight Services is provided upon your request pursuant to paragraph 1(c) of General Assembly resolution A/RES/59/272. The report has been redacted in part pursuant to paragraph 2 of this resolution to protect confidentiality and sensitive information. OIOS's transmission of this Report does not constitute its publication. OIOS does not bear any responsibility for any further dissemination of the Report.



TO: [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: Dossier investigation report of a paternity claim and alleged assault

OBJET: against [REDACTED]

1. On [REDACTED] [REDACTED] referred to the Investigations Division of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (ID/OIOS) an allegation that [REDACTED], a [REDACTED] had engaged in a consensual sexual relationship with a [REDACTED] (hereafter referred to as [REDACTED], as a result of which [REDACTED] was [REDACTED]

2. It was further alleged that [REDACTED] denied paternity and refused to [REDACTED] as a result of which [REDACTED] ID/OIOS was informed that [REDACTED] subsequently reported [REDACTED]s actions to the [REDACTED]. In response, [REDACTED] filed a motion with a [REDACTED], which in turn referred the case to the [REDACTED] for appropriate action. Finally, it was alleged that [REDACTED], in attempting to meet with the [REDACTED] was assaulted by the [REDACTED]

3. ID/OIOS conducted an investigation into these allegations, and interviewed [REDACTED] civilian witnesses and [REDACTED] including the subject - [REDACTED]; and also reviewed and analyzed relevant documents.

4. ID/OIOS has concluded its investigation and presents the following investigative details:

[REDACTED]'s allegations

5. According to [REDACTED] met [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] during [REDACTED] and a friendship ensued. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] provided [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] with occasional [REDACTED] including part of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] stated that the friendship developed into a consensual sexual relationship, as a result of which [REDACTED] became pregnant in [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] informed ID/OIOS that [REDACTED] had told them of [REDACTED]

relationship with [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] had told [REDACTED] that the relationship was sexual, whilst [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] was frequently in the company of [REDACTED] and that [REDACTED] deduced that the relationship was sexual, particularly as [REDACTED] introduced [REDACTED] as [REDACTED]

6. According to [REDACTED], upon advising [REDACTED] [REDACTED] promised [REDACTED] for [REDACTED] but failed to provide such. So, as a result, [REDACTED] took [REDACTED] in an effort to induce [REDACTED] to fulfill [REDACTED] promise. However, [REDACTED] reported the matter to the [REDACTED] who subsequently retrieved the [REDACTED] from [REDACTED] added that [REDACTED] in turn filed a paternity claim against [REDACTED] with the [REDACTED], which resulted in the matter being referred to the [REDACTED]

7. In addition, [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] met with [REDACTED], who agreed to facilitate pregnancy tests. The tests confirmed [REDACTED] pregnancy. [REDACTED] stated that the [REDACTED] offered [REDACTED] access to [REDACTED] for any further [REDACTED] alleged, however, that [REDACTED] later offered [REDACTED] to drop the civil action and also to procure [REDACTED]

8. ID/OIOS interview [REDACTED], who confirmed having met with [REDACTED] who claimed paternity against [REDACTED] further confirmed having directed [REDACTED] to assist [REDACTED] in obtaining pregnancy tests and offering the services of [REDACTED] for [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] denied having offered any [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] as alleged.

9. [REDACTED] alleged that later, [REDACTED] attempted to meet with [REDACTED] to obtain [REDACTED] for [REDACTED], but was prevented from doing so by [REDACTED], who physically assaulted [REDACTED] causing pain to [REDACTED] but for which [REDACTED] sought no [REDACTED]

10. ID/OIOS interview [REDACTED], the [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] who stated that sometime in [REDACTED] attempted to forcefully enter the [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] used proportionate force to prevent [REDACTED]s entry to the [REDACTED], but caused [REDACTED] no injury or harm. [REDACTED] informed ID/OIOS that on the relevant day, [REDACTED] heard commotion outside [REDACTED]. Upon investigation, [REDACTED] found [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] with the latter asking [REDACTED] [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] had no knowledge of any assault and that [REDACTED] had not raised such an allegation with [REDACTED]

Interview of [REDACTED]

11. When interviewed by ID/OIOS, [REDACTED] denied being involved in a sexual relationship with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] paternity claim.

12. [REDACTED] informed ID/OIOS that [REDACTED] met [REDACTED] sometime in [REDACTED] at the [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] their initial [REDACTED] returned to the [REDACTED] asking for [REDACTED] for [REDACTED] admitted giving part of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

to other [redacted] as a humanitarian gesture. [redacted] later, [redacted] returned asking for the loan of [redacted] to make [redacted]. However, when told [redacted] had no [redacted], accompanied with [redacted] refusal of [redacted] requests to obtain [redacted] from [redacted] s to [redacted] took [redacted] and fled. [redacted] stated that [redacted] could not give chase due to [redacted] [redacted] that over [redacted] attempted to locate [redacted] to retrieve [redacted] but without success; accordingly, [redacted] reported the matter to the [redacted] stated that the [redacted] recovered [redacted] from [redacted] however, [redacted] was required to pay [redacted] because [redacted] had apparently used it as collateral to obtain a [redacted]—this was corroborated by the [redacted] when interviewed by ID/OIOS. However, the [redacted] stated that the [redacted] was [redacted]

13. ID/OIOS interview [redacted] and [redacted] who stated that—at [redacted] request—[redacted] acted as [redacted] to a discussion between [redacted] and [redacted] regarding the recovery of [redacted] from [redacted]. [redacted] stated that [redacted] admitted having taken [redacted] prior because [redacted] had refused to give [redacted] stated that [redacted] promised to give [redacted] the [redacted] when [redacted] were [redacted] if [redacted] agreed to return the [redacted]. [redacted] further stated that [redacted] made several requests of [redacted] over [redacted] for the return of the [redacted] but [redacted] refused. The matter was then reported to [redacted] stated that [redacted] was [redacted] to the [redacted] to recover the [redacted] had apparently used [redacted] as collateral for a [redacted] stated that the [redacted] told [redacted] that [redacted] was [redacted] to the [redacted] whilst the balance was given to [redacted]

14. In addition, [redacted] stated that sometime [redacted] is requested by the [redacted] to assist with interpretation at a [redacted] between the [redacted] and [redacted] where the latter claimed to have been impregnated by [redacted] added that this was the first time [redacted] had heard of [redacted]’s paternity claim against [redacted] and that [redacted] had never raised it with [redacted] in their previous discussions. According to [redacted] [redacted] was subsequently summoned to the [redacted] and the allegation was put to [redacted], including a claim by [redacted] that [redacted] had promised [redacted] to fund [redacted] [redacted] stated that [redacted] denied the allegations both at that meeting and again during later private discussions between [redacted] about the matter.

#### ID/OIOS findings

15. ID/OIOS determined that [redacted] met [redacted] sometime in [redacted] during the former’s [redacted] [redacted] claimed that a consensual sexual relationship ensued, as a result of which [redacted] was impregnated. Noteworthy, [redacted] did not raise [redacted] paternity claim against [redacted] with [redacted] when [redacted] apparently had reasonable opportunity to do so. Further, [redacted] denied being involved in a sexual relationship with [redacted] and denied [redacted] paternity claim against [redacted].

16. Based on the available evidence, ID/OIOS found [REDACTED]'s evidence to be credible. But, in the absence of [REDACTED], ID/OIOS cannot make a conclusive finding with respect to [REDACTED]'s paternity claim against [REDACTED].

17. Furthermore, ID/OIOS found that around [REDACTED] attempted to forcefully enter the [REDACTED] and that the [REDACTED] personal protection prevented [REDACTED] from entering. ID/OIOS found [REDACTED]'s credibility, with respect to [REDACTED] claims of having been assaulted, to have been undermined by [REDACTED] failure to raise the issue with the [REDACTED] at the time and also by [REDACTED] failure to report the alleged resultant injury to the [REDACTED] despite having been previously granted open access to the [REDACTED] by the [REDACTED]. Accordingly, ID/OIOS concludes that [REDACTED]'s allegation of having been assaulted by [REDACTED] whilst attempting to see the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] to be unsubstantiated.

18. In light of these findings, ID/OIOS recommends that the [REDACTED] provide a copy of this report to the [REDACTED] to the [REDACTED] to inform them of the circumstances of the case ([REDACTED]).

19. Your response [REDACTED] to the findings and recommendation would be greatly appreciated. Should you have any questions or comments, please [REDACTED].

cc: [REDACTED]

