



United Nations

Nations Unies

**OFFICE OF INTERNAL OVERSIGHT SERVICES
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION**

*This Report is protected by paragraph 18 of
ST/SGB/273 of 7 September 1994*

**DOSSIER INVESTIGATION REPORT INTO ALLEGATIONS OF
SEXUAL EXPLOITATION BY [REDACTED]**

REDACTED REPORT

ID Case No. 0698-06

22 OCTOBER 2007

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

This Investigation Report of the Investigations Division of the United Nations Office of Internal Oversight Services is provided upon your request pursuant to paragraph 1(c) of General Assembly resolution A/RES/59/272. The report has been redacted in part pursuant to paragraph 2 of this resolution to protect confidentiality and sensitive information. OIOS's transmission of this Report does not constitute its publication. OIOS does not bear any responsibility for any further dissemination of the Report.



TO: [REDACTED] DATE: [REDACTED]

FROM: [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: **Dossier investigation report into allegations of sexual exploitation by**
OBJET: [REDACTED]

1. In [REDACTED] [REDACTED] received complaints, involving irregularities in the [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] within the [REDACTED] of the [REDACTED]. These complaints, included allegations that [REDACTED] were offering [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] a) in exchange for [REDACTED] which were investigated by the [REDACTED]; b) in exchange for [REDACTED]. Subsequently, the [REDACTED] referred the latter allegation to the Investigations Division of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (ID/OIOS). [REDACTED], both [REDACTED] with responsibility for [REDACTED] at various facilities in [REDACTED], were implicated in these allegations.

2. On conclusion of the [REDACTED] investigation into the bribery allegation, [REDACTED] referred its findings to [REDACTED] for possible disciplinary action. As a result, [REDACTED] was terminated, and [REDACTED] was transferred from [REDACTED] pending the outcome of [REDACTED] decision.

3. ID/OIOS has now concluded its investigation into allegations that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] had offered [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] in exchange for [REDACTED]. The findings of this investigation, are set forth below.

Interview of [REDACTED]

4. During [REDACTED] interview with ID/OIOS, [REDACTED] national and resident of [REDACTED], stated that [REDACTED] met [REDACTED] introduced [REDACTED] as a [REDACTED] and invited [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] to be [REDACTED] for [REDACTED]. After the [REDACTED] and at [REDACTED] invitation, [REDACTED] went to [REDACTED] to have further discussions about the [REDACTED].

[REDACTED]

5. According to [REDACTED] a short time after arriving at [REDACTED] [REDACTED] to drag [REDACTED] towards [REDACTED] and in an ensuing struggle [REDACTED] removed [REDACTED] and also attempted to remove [REDACTED]. After some time, [REDACTED] released [REDACTED] and told [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] "found that [REDACTED] [does not] want to get a [REDACTED] added that [REDACTED] interpreted this to mean that [REDACTED] would have to have sexual intercourse with [REDACTED] in order to secure [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] was not prepared to do that.

6. [REDACTED] further stated that in [REDACTED] obtained a [REDACTED] as a [REDACTED] at the [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] where [REDACTED] was [REDACTED] by [REDACTED] who regularly sought to have sex with [REDACTED]. In an attempt to placate [REDACTED] gave [REDACTED] per [REDACTED] for [REDACTED], but after the [REDACTED] was refused [REDACTED] to the [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] attributed this action to [REDACTED] refusal to have sex with [REDACTED]. According to [REDACTED], in [REDACTED], when [REDACTED] was [REDACTED] as a [REDACTED] reported the incidents involving [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] explained that this was the first time [REDACTED] had disclosed the incidents to any person.

Interview of [REDACTED]

7. ID/OIOS interview [REDACTED] who stated that [REDACTED] who was [REDACTED] at the time, had approached [REDACTED] on several occasions and requested sex in exchange for converting [REDACTED] from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED]. According to [REDACTED] refused [REDACTED] advances, but agreed to [REDACTED] per [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] for approximately [REDACTED] until the matter was reported to [REDACTED].

Interview of [REDACTED]

8. [REDACTED] provided ID/OIOS with the names of [REDACTED] allegedly involved in a sexual relationship with [REDACTED]. ID/OIOS interviewed these [REDACTED], but they denied that [REDACTED] asked them to engage in sexual activity in exchange for [REDACTED] or for conversion of their [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] ones. Further, they denied having a sexual relationship with [REDACTED].

Interview of [REDACTED]

9. ID/OIOS interview [REDACTED], the former [REDACTED], who stated that, whilst [REDACTED] was aware of allegations that [REDACTED] was being exchanged for [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was not aware of allegations involving the exchange of [REDACTED] for sexual favours.

Interview of subjects

10. [REDACTED] was interviewed and acknowledged that [REDACTED] was dismissed from [REDACTED] on the basis of allegations that [REDACTED] had [REDACTED] from fellow [REDACTED] in exchange for [REDACTED] as [REDACTED] insisted that [REDACTED] never had the authority to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

denied that [REDACTED] had ever attempted to procure [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] from any [REDACTED] in exchange for [REDACTED]

11. [REDACTED] was also interviewed and denied attempting to [REDACTED] in exchange for [REDACTED] stated that whilst [REDACTED] previously had [REDACTED] responsibility for [REDACTED] did not have [REDACTED] responsibility. [REDACTED] added that this was the prerogative of the [REDACTED], although from time to time [REDACTED] would make recommendations in relation to people whom [REDACTED] knew to be good [REDACTED].

Conclusions & Recommendations

12. Despite similarities in the evidence between [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], ID/OIOS found no corroboration for allegations that [REDACTED] had offered them [REDACTED] in exchange for sexual favours. Accordingly, ID/OIOS considers the probative value of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]'s evidence in this regard, as insufficient to make adverse findings against [REDACTED].

13. Whilst [REDACTED] and another witness provided the names of [REDACTED] with whom it was alleged [REDACTED] was engaged in sexual activity—none of these [REDACTED] admitted the existence of such a relationship and all denied that there had been an attempt by their [REDACTED], including [REDACTED], to procure sex in exchange for [REDACTED] [REDACTED].

14. In addition, with respect to [REDACTED] there is no corroboration of the event as described by [REDACTED] in paragraph 7 above.

15. Given the lack of corroboration, ID/OIOS concludes that the allegations against [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] are unsubstantiated.

16. Accordingly, ID/OIOS recommends that [REDACTED] advise [REDACTED] that the allegation that [REDACTED] engaged in acts of sexual exploitation, is unsubstantiated [REDACTED].

17. Also, ID/OIOS recommends that [REDACTED] advise [REDACTED] that the allegation that [REDACTED] engaged in an act of sexual exploitation, is unsubstantiated [REDACTED].

18. Your response, by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] to these recommendations would be greatly appreciated. Should you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]