



United Nations

Nations Unies

**OFFICE OF INTERNAL OVERSIGHT SERVICES
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION**

*This Report is protected by paragraph 18 of
ST/SGB/273 of 7 September 1994*

**REPORT ON INVESTIGATION INTO AN ALLEGATION OF
SEXUAL ABUSE AGAINST [REDACTED]**

REDACTED REPORT

ID Case No. 0751-06

08 NOVEMBER 2007

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

This Investigation Report of the Investigations Division of the United Nations Office of Internal Oversight Services is provided upon your request pursuant to paragraph 1(c) of General Assembly resolution A/RES/59/272. The report has been redacted in part pursuant to paragraph 2 of this resolution to protect confidentiality and sensitive information. OIOS's transmission of this Report does not constitute its publication. OIOS does not bear any responsibility for any further dissemination of the Report.



[REDACTED] DATE [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

SUBJECT: Report on investigation into an allegation of sexual abuse against [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

1. [REDACTED] provided the Investigations Division of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (ID/OIOS) with an allegation that [REDACTED] had raped a [REDACTED] (hereafter referred to as [REDACTED]). The matter was also the subject of investigation by the [REDACTED].

2. ID/OIOS conducted an investigation of the allegation, which included interviews with [REDACTED] civilian witnesses and United Nations (UN) personnel. ID/OIOS also examined the scene of the alleged rape and reviewed all available documentation, including the [REDACTED].

3. When interviewed by ID/OIOS, [REDACTED] stated that at [REDACTED] on [REDACTED], a [REDACTED] [REDACTED] who [REDACTED] believed were from [REDACTED] arrived at [REDACTED] place of [REDACTED]—a [REDACTED] in [REDACTED]—to purchase [REDACTED]. According to [REDACTED], while they were there, one of the [REDACTED] present, suggested that [REDACTED] could make money from having sex with one of [REDACTED] but [REDACTED] refused because [REDACTED] was “afraid of [REDACTED]”. [REDACTED] further stated that about [REDACTED] later, [REDACTED] of the [REDACTED]s who bought [REDACTED] earlier, returned and raped [REDACTED] in the [REDACTED] of the [REDACTED]. At the end of the assault [REDACTED] requested payment from the [REDACTED].

4. [REDACTED] related that upon leaving [REDACTED] was confronted by a [REDACTED] [REDACTED]s who questioned [REDACTED] about [REDACTED] who was still in [REDACTED]. Reluctantly, [REDACTED] admitted that they had had intercourse. The [REDACTED]s then forced [REDACTED] to hand over the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] had received from [REDACTED]. When [REDACTED] came out of [REDACTED], one of the [REDACTED] (in [REDACTED] which was then translated to [REDACTED] for the benefit of the other [REDACTED]s gathered there) asked [REDACTED] if [REDACTED] had had sex with [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] admitted that [REDACTED] had. [REDACTED] further said that the [REDACTED]s then escorted [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED] to the [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] did not seek [REDACTED] [REDACTED] did not know the name of the person who raped [REDACTED], but described [REDACTED] as [REDACTED], dressed in a [REDACTED], with [REDACTED] and a [REDACTED].

5. ID/OIOS learned that on the date in question the [REDACTED] was [REDACTED] in [REDACTED], and that some of its [REDACTED] had been [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] to [REDACTED]. Those [REDACTED] to this [REDACTED] [REDACTED] traveled [REDACTED] between [REDACTED].

██████ and a ██████ in ██████, and ██████ had been assigned to escort and provide security for the ██████. The ██████ provided records indicating that ██████ were present in ██████ or ██████. However, the ██████ informed ID/OIOS that when attempts were made to obtain records from ██████, the ██████ (ID/OIOS was unable to ascertain ██████ identity) claimed that there were no records for that date.

6. In ██████, ID/OIOS made an urgent request ██████ for assistance in identifying the ██████ brought to the ██████ by ██████ on ██████ and for a current list of all ██████. No written response was received, but the ██████ acted on the request. The ██████ advised ID/OIOS that despite numerous attempts to obtain the requested information, the ██████ did not respond. Consequently, the photographic array prepared for ██████ consisted of all ██████ of the ██████ and ██████ of the ██████. ██████ was unable to identify ██████ assailant.

7. ID/OIOS interviewed the ██████ involved in the initial inquiry. ██████ said that ██████ had informed ██████ that a ██████ had been caught having sexual relations with a ██████. When ██████ arrived at ██████ observed a ██████ in a ██████ sitting on a ██████, putting on ██████, and a ██████ later identified as ██████, standing nearby dressed in a ██████. ██████ in the ██████ told the ██████ that they had seen the ██████ having sex with ██████, but waited outside the ██████ so as not to interrupt (there was no ██████ to the ██████ and the ██████ was visible from the ██████). The ██████ stated that the ██████ then took the ██████ to the ██████. The ██████ also went to the ██████ but did not go inside.

8. The ██████ stated that ██████ interviewed ██████ and ██████ witness, but not the ██████ because ██████, the ██████, did not speak ██████ (the ██████ language), and that was the extent of ██████ investigation. ██████ gave a general description of the ██████ involved in the incident, but stated that ██████ would not be able to identify ██████.

9. ID/OIOS repeatedly attempted to locate and interview the ██████ who were said to have observed the ██████ at the premises on the ██████ in question, but these inquiries were unsuccessful.

10. ██████ provided a detailed account of the alleged incident to ID/OIOS, which was essentially the same as ██████ statement to the ██████. Although ID/OIOS was unable to locate and interview any persons who witnessed the alleged sexual contact between ██████ and ██████, ██████'s account and the hearsay evidence from the ██████ is sufficient to conclude that ██████ had sexual relations with a ██████. Although at the time of the incident, sexual relations with a ██████ was not a violation of the penal code of the ██████, it was a violation of the ██████ Code of Conduct.

11. As the ██████ refused to respond to the ██████ who was acting on behalf of ID/OIOS, the identity of the alleged ██████

could not be established. ID/OIOS notes that the lack of cooperation by the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] to requests from the [REDACTED], at the behest of ID/OIOS, complicated this investigation.

12. In view of the findings, ID/OIOS offers the following:

Recommendation 1: It is recommended that [REDACTED] provide a copy of this report to the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] to the [REDACTED] for their review.
[REDACTED]

13. A response by [REDACTED] with respect to these recommendations would be greatly appreciated. Should you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact [REDACTED] [REDACTED].

14. Thank you and best regards.

cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]