



United Nations

Nations Unies

**OFFICE OF INTERNAL OVERSIGHT SERVICES
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION**

*This Report is protected by paragraph 18 of
ST/SGB/273 of 7 September 1994*

**INVESTIGATION REPORT ON AN ALLEGATION OF SEXUAL
ABUSE AGAINST [REDACTED]**

REDACTED REPORT

ID Case No. 0586-06

13 FEBRUARY 2008

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

This Investigation Report of the Investigations Division of the United Nations Office of Internal Oversight Services is provided upon your request pursuant to paragraph 1(c) of General Assembly resolution A/RES/59/272. The report has been redacted in part pursuant to paragraph 2 of this resolution to protect confidentiality and sensitive information. OIOS's transmission of this Report does not constitute its publication. OIOS does not bear any responsibility for any further dissemination of the Report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. APPLICABLE LAW 1

 A. UNITED NATIONS STAFF REGULATIONS AND RULES 1

 B. RELEVANT CONCEPTS OF CRIMINAL LAW 2

III. METHODOLOGY 2

IV. BACKGROUND 2

V. INVESTIGATIVE DETAILS 2

 A. █████ INVESTIGATION 2

 B. ID/OIOS INVESTIGATION 4

 C. SUMMARY OF ID/OIOS INTERVIEWS WITH █████ 4

 D. █████ 6

 █████ 7

 F. IDENTIFICATION PARADE 8

 █████ 8

VI. FINDINGS 10

VII. CONCLUSIONS 11

VIII. RECOMMENDATIONS 11

IX. █████ █████ █████ O █████ █████ █████ █████ 12

I. INTRODUCTION

1. On [REDACTED], the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] advised the Investigations Division of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (ID/OIOS) of an allegation of the sexual abuse of [REDACTED] (hereafter referred to as [REDACTED]) by a [REDACTED]. ID/OIOS was informed that the allegation was the subject of an investigation by the [REDACTED] and preliminary enquires by a [REDACTED].
2. The investigation by ID/OIOS established sufficient evidence to identify that on [REDACTED] was raped in [REDACTED]. Specifically, that on that [REDACTED] when [REDACTED] was at [REDACTED] with [REDACTED] whom [REDACTED] knew, came to [REDACTED], gave [REDACTED]'s [REDACTED] some [REDACTED] and sent them to [REDACTED], then took [REDACTED] into the [REDACTED] and raped [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] arrived at [REDACTED] and witnessed the rape in progress.
3. ID/OIOS also established that the [REDACTED] was informed of the rape on [REDACTED], but claimed that they only knew about a "scuffle" involving [REDACTED]. It was also established that the [REDACTED] authorized intimidating tactics against [REDACTED] during the [REDACTED].

II. APPLICABLE LAW

A. UNITED NATIONS STAFF REGULATIONS AND RULES

4. Agreement between [REDACTED] and the United Nations Concerning the Status of the [REDACTED]
Section VI, Paragraph 51:
“(b) [REDACTED] members of the [REDACTED] shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of their participating States in respect of any criminal offences which may be committed by them in [REDACTED].”
5. Code of Personal Conduct [REDACTED]
Rule 4:
“Do not indulge in immoral acts of sexual, physical or psychological abuse or exploitation of the local population or United Nations staff, especially with women and children”.
6. Directives for Disciplinary Matters Involving [REDACTED]
“Serious Misconduct...includes, but is not limited to...Sexual abuse and exploitation of any individual, particularly children”.
“In cases where [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] are believed to have been involved in an act of serious misconduct or an act that has the potential to damage

the image, credibility or integrity of the United Nations, the [REDACTED] shall be notified immediately”.

7. Observance by United Nations [REDACTED] of international humanitarian law, ST/SGB/1999/13

“7.4 Children shall be the object of special respect and shall be protected against any form of indecent assault”.

B. RELEVANT CONCEPTS OF CRIMINAL LAW

8. Some well established concepts of law are applicable to this report. For example:

Rape, which is commonly described as the intentional unlawful sexual intercourse with a female without her consent. The assault is accomplished by the use of force, the threat of force, or without force when the survivor is unable to physically or mentally give her or his consent. Punishment for this crime may in some national law jurisdictions include the death penalty or corporate punishment.

III. METHODOLOGY

9. The ID/OIOS investigation included the collection and analysis of relevant information and documents, such as, the [REDACTED] investigation file. ID/OIOS conducted interviews with [REDACTED], civilian witnesses and [REDACTED] including the subject of the allegation, [REDACTED].

IV. BACKGROUND

10. [REDACTED] and several [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] on the [REDACTED] A [REDACTED] from the [REDACTED] of the [REDACTED] into the [REDACTED]. The main [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED] are situated on [REDACTED], the main [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] occupied by [REDACTED] are [REDACTED] to the other sides of the [REDACTED]. The [REDACTED] measures [REDACTED] are situated on the [REDACTED] of the [REDACTED] and there are [REDACTED] other [REDACTED] within the [REDACTED].

V. INVESTIGATIVE DETAILS

A. [REDACTED] INVESTIGATION

11. On [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] went to the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] to make an allegation of sexual assault. [REDACTED] told the investigating officer that the previous [REDACTED], whilst at [REDACTED] had been raped by a [REDACTED] whom [REDACTED] new.

12. In a signed statement, dated [REDACTED], [REDACTED] stated that on the [REDACTED] of [REDACTED], whilst at [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] they were visited by a person [REDACTED] described as [REDACTED] (colloquium for a [REDACTED]) named [REDACTED]. After the [REDACTED] left the vicinity, “[REDACTED]” sent [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] then took some [REDACTED] into the [REDACTED].

followed and pulled onto told ID/OIOS that then removed pants and raped attempted to yell, but put over mouth. continued to rape until another of the entered. then ran from.

13. In a signed statement, dated stated that in the same as and occupying the had often seen and other in the. During the of was visiting Upon return spoke to who was outside with another. asked where was and told that was somewhere in the noticed a belonging to near the front. Upon entering the saw lying on top of with trousers pulled half way down legs. was wearing a and a asked what was doing with tried to distract by saying that someone was from behind did not leave. then questioned, who said that the had called into the, put hand over, and then had sexual intercourse with. immediately informed who in the same, about the assault. They came to, saw and took to their (hereafter) was later informed of the rape.

14. In a signed but undated statement, stated that the "often came into where. The prior to the taking of s statement, the " had been at and gave and another between. The " told and the other to go and, which they did. They left with the. When they returned they could not see the " or, later saw return and enter then told that had found the inside the on top of called other, who took from the.

15. In a signed but undated statement, stated that on went to to borrow a and while there, met known to as. The was informed that had been raped and required attention. then went to the accompanied by some other unnamed persons, where they were told to take to a local and return to the later. They returned later in the and were told to take to the, but they refused and instead reported the incident to the.

16. In a signed statement dated related what others had told concerning this incident. also stated that on and others spoke to a person who identified as the of them to take to a and to report the results back to. They later spoke to the same who asked that be brought to the where one of the would examine was concerned about this request and decided to report the matter to the. The

1 [redacted]

conducted preliminary enquiries but ceased their investigation as they felt the case was within the jurisdiction of the United Nations and ID/OIOS.

B. ID/OIOS INVESTIGATION

17. On [REDACTED] ID/OIOS visited the [REDACTED], and the scene of the alleged rape. The [REDACTED] is situated within a [REDACTED] on the [REDACTED]. The [REDACTED] measures approximately [REDACTED]. The [REDACTED] are separated by a [REDACTED] with [REDACTED]. A small [REDACTED] from the [REDACTED] of the [REDACTED] into the [REDACTED]; on several occasions ID/OIOS investigators witnessed this [REDACTED] being used by [REDACTED] who carried [REDACTED] from their [REDACTED] into the [REDACTED] occupied by [REDACTED]. The main [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED] are situated on [REDACTED] which is the main [REDACTED] running through [REDACTED]. The remainder of the [REDACTED] is surrounded by [REDACTED] occupied by the [REDACTED]. ID/OIOS established that [REDACTED] regularly frequent the [REDACTED] to socialize and [REDACTED].

18. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] have occupied one of the [REDACTED] in the [REDACTED] since [REDACTED]. Their [REDACTED] consists of [REDACTED] with [REDACTED]. The main [REDACTED], which is [REDACTED], is used as the [REDACTED] for [REDACTED] a relative of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. To the [REDACTED] of this [REDACTED] there is an opening in the [REDACTED] that leads into a [REDACTED]. This [REDACTED] measures [REDACTED] by [REDACTED] and has a [REDACTED] covered by [REDACTED]. This is the [REDACTED] used by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. This area was examined by ID/OIOS. ID/OIOS also examined the [REDACTED] due to the [REDACTED] nature of these items, no relevant [REDACTED] was identified. [REDACTED] worn by [REDACTED] during the alleged rape was also examined – a [REDACTED] worn by [REDACTED] showed clear [REDACTED] on the front.

C. SUMMARY OF ID/OIOS INTERVIEWS WITH [REDACTED]

19. During the [REDACTED] as it [REDACTED] with [REDACTED] and several [REDACTED]. They were visited by [REDACTED]. This statement was made by [REDACTED]. In addition, [REDACTED] a [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] spoke to [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] near [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] stated that "[REDACTED]" often visited the [REDACTED] and was friendly with [REDACTED] and other [REDACTED] who lived there. They noted that [REDACTED] stuttered when speaking.

20. Later that [REDACTED] gave [REDACTED] to change into [REDACTED] went to a [REDACTED] and changed the [REDACTED]. A [REDACTED] recalled that [REDACTED] came to [REDACTED] between [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] changed a [REDACTED] into [REDACTED]. When [REDACTED] returned to [REDACTED] gave [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] some of the [REDACTED] and sent them to [REDACTED]. This was verified by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] stated that the other [REDACTED] left about the same time the [REDACTED] went to [REDACTED].

21. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] took some [REDACTED] to the [REDACTED] and "[REDACTED]" followed [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] pulled [REDACTED] onto a [REDACTED], removed [REDACTED] pants and [REDACTED] pants and "had [REDACTED]". [REDACTED] explained that this meant that [REDACTED] had sexual intercourse with [REDACTED]. This

continued until [redacted] walked into [redacted] stated that it hurt and [redacted] as a result.

22. [redacted] stated that on [redacted] [redacted] walked to [redacted] [redacted] shared with [redacted] and [redacted] and [redacted] saw [redacted] and [redacted] [redacted] outside the [redacted] noticed that the [redacted] was in darkness – usually [redacted] lights the [redacted] When [redacted] entered the [redacted] noticed movement on a [redacted] and saw the [redacted] on the [redacted] pulled down to [redacted] on top of [redacted], moving up and down. [redacted] thought it looked like they were having sex. The [redacted] turned quickly around, stood up and pulled up [redacted] trousers. [redacted] saw that [redacted] was exposed from the waist down, was crying and appeared extremely distressed. [redacted] lit the [redacted] and asked what had happened. [redacted] stated that the [redacted] raped [redacted] after [redacted] called [redacted] “into the [redacted]”; [redacted] put [redacted] hand over [redacted] “when doing it”. The [redacted] said to [redacted] “I pay you”, whereupon [redacted] replied no and the [redacted] left.

23. [redacted] said that [redacted] stated that [redacted] as very sore and bleeding [redacted] checked the victim's genitalia area and observed that [redacted] was bleeding. [redacted] then wiped the blood with the [redacted] was wearing.

24. [redacted] stated that [redacted] took [redacted] to the [redacted] of [redacted] in the [redacted]. [redacted] confirmed that [redacted] and [redacted] came to [redacted] about [redacted] that [redacted] told [redacted] that [redacted] had returned home and found the [redacted] “raping [redacted]” [redacted] said that the [redacted] was still at [redacted] and [redacted] went to the [redacted] found the [redacted], known to [redacted] as [redacted], standing outside the front of the [redacted] was wearing a [redacted] asked [redacted] if [redacted] had raped [redacted] to which [redacted] replied that [redacted] had not and that [redacted] was lying. [redacted] appeared distressed, but due to [redacted] stutter, [redacted] had trouble understanding what [redacted] was trying to say. [redacted] then left. Upon returning to [redacted] spoke to [redacted] who was very upset. [redacted] told [redacted] take my pants down and take [redacted] pants down and [redacted] have me”.

25. [redacted] telephoned [redacted], but due to a bad [redacted] [redacted] could not hear [redacted] [redacted] then called [redacted] told [redacted] of the incident and asked [redacted] to call [redacted] Later [redacted] met [redacted] at the [redacted] [redacted] approached the group. [redacted] asked [redacted] if [redacted] had raped [redacted] [redacted] was very upset and replied that [redacted] had not. [redacted] further said, “I’m innocent please don’t tell my [redacted] [redacted] that [redacted] asked [redacted] for [redacted] to assist [redacted]. [redacted] refused and stated that [redacted] had not done anything wrong, that [redacted] had not been to [redacted], nor had [redacted]. On the other hand, [redacted] stated that [redacted] offered [redacted] to help treat [redacted] and [redacted] suggested that [redacted] make a payment to [redacted]. Finally, [redacted] made no mention of [redacted] in [redacted]. [redacted] and [redacted] decided to consult [redacted], the [redacted], the following [redacted] concerning the [redacted].

26. Af [redacted] was informed of the incident th [redacted], [redacted] and a [redacted] who was a [redacted] (hereafter [redacted] went to the [redacted]. They spoke to a person who identified [redacted] as [redacted]. When told of the incident, [redacted] and [redacted] stated that the [redacted] did not appear surprised and seemed to already know about the incident.

27. [redacted] told them that [redacted] would call [redacted], which [redacted] did; relating that one of their [redacted] named [redacted] had raped a [redacted]. After a short discussion, the [redacted] told [redacted], [redacted] and [redacted] to take the victim to the [redacted]. [redacted] said that upon [redacted] for the examination [redacted] would reimburse them. [redacted] also stated that the [redacted] asked them not to tell anyone else about the rape.

28. [redacted] took [redacted] to the [redacted] and paid the account [redacted] (about [redacted]), and received a receipt. Later [redacted] and [redacted] returned to the [redacted] where they spoke to a person who identified [redacted] as the [redacted]. There were several other [redacted] present during this conversation. Initially the [redacted] was conciliatory, stating that they were all [redacted] and that these things should be sorted out within the [redacted]. [redacted] said that [redacted] could take care of their [redacted] and would give them [redacted] to make things easier. [redacted] believed they were attempting to bribe [redacted]. The [redacted] gave [redacted] for the [redacted] and told [redacted] to keep the [redacted]. Meanwhile, [redacted] attempted to join them; however, the [redacted] yelled at the [redacted] that no one else was allowed onto [redacted].

29. According to [redacted], the tone of the conversation soon became less cordial and it was made very clear to [redacted] by the [redacted] that the matter should be dealt with by them there and then. [redacted] had concerns that the [redacted] did not want anyone else involved in the matter and as such had concerns that the [redacted] would not investigate the rape. The [redacted] then got down on [redacted] before [redacted] and [redacted] and pleaded with them not to tell anyone as it would embarrass the [redacted]. [redacted] decided that the meeting was deteriorating, so they left. [redacted] then took [redacted] to the [redacted] where [redacted] made a formal complaint. [redacted] was subsequently [redacted] at the [redacted].

30. [redacted] late [redacted] and [redacted] received [redacted] from the [redacted] requesting them to attend a [redacted] - a copy of such [redacted] is attached to this report. During the following week, [redacted] went to [redacted] times and told [redacted] that [redacted] and [redacted] should attend the [redacted]. [redacted] told the [redacted] and [redacted] that they would not attend. [redacted] subsequently received [redacted] the [redacted] was from [redacted], whom [redacted] suspects was a [redacted], who said, "You have carried your case forward now you will have to move [redacted]". The [redacted] did not identify [redacted] by name, but said [redacted] was from the [redacted] and wanted to know why [redacted] and [redacted] refused to appear before the [redacted]. [redacted] stated that [redacted] felt intimidated, and responded that [redacted] and [redacted] would not appear and would no longer speak to anyone from the [redacted]. On [redacted] [redacted] wrote a [redacted] to [redacted] stating that [redacted] would cooperate with the ID/OIOS investigation, but that [redacted] was very upset that the [redacted] kept going to [redacted] and that [redacted] would not speak to them.

D. [redacted]

31. On [redacted] ID/OIOS interviewed [redacted], a [redacted] at the [redacted] who stated that on the [redacted] of [redacted] was brought into the [redacted] by [redacted] for [redacted].

appeared to be distressed and in some pain. was told that had been raped. spoke to and asked what had happened. told that during the had come to and sent the other away. were not at and took into the inside, pulled down and then raped placing a over returned and found the raping.

32. ID/OIOS viewed recorded that "About was rush to with the complaint of rape. During our it was observed that s was penetrated and open also is very painful to touch, the look red. said had been raped by known to".

33. was further, in the presence of, on by of at told that a known to as came to while was at alone with and After some time sent the others away to. then placed on a, removed and had sexual intercourse with began to scream so placed a then returned and left. Later noticed that had blood on found no marks located during the examination. However, during the noted that there were abrasions on both and redness. Lacerations were noted at several points on the and there was a There was no trauma noted during the.

E. INTERVIEW OF

34. On was interviewed by, the, a, acting as an independent person and ID/OIOS. It was noted that had a significant speech impediment that caused to stutter, which intensified when became agitated or excited.

35. stated that has, who resides in the to the, the same in which with has known " for approximately and has, on occasions, visited said that had been introduced to some of the people who live including the; however, did not know the names of any of knew several of the that there, including admitted that had at times given to the, but denied ever with or to them.

36. told ID/OIOS that at about n. or left the without seeking permission from a to purchase was wearing a and went to a situated on outside run by a known to as had bought from in the past and had spoken to on a number of occasions. While purchasing the began laughing at due

to [redacted] stutter, [redacted] stated that [redacted] became agitated and slapped [redacted] [redacted] grabbed [redacted] by the [redacted] and called out for help from [redacted]. A group of [redacted] formed around [redacted] and began punching and pushing [redacted]. [redacted] stated that [redacted] did not receive any injuries as a result of the assault. [redacted] then grabbed [redacted] by the [redacted] and led [redacted] to the [redacted].

37. The allegation of the rape of [redacted] was put to [redacted] [redacted] adamantly denied the allegation and stated [redacted]. "I don't know, I don't know". [redacted] stated that [redacted] had not been to [redacted] on [redacted] and did not know [redacted] or any of the other [redacted]. When asked what [redacted] relationship was with [redacted] [redacted] stated that on occasion [redacted] had given [redacted] small amounts of [redacted] in exchange for [redacted] that [redacted], but [redacted] had never been to [redacted] and did not know if [redacted] has any [redacted]. [redacted] stated that [redacted] had not seen or spoken to [redacted] on [redacted]. It was put to [redacted] that [redacted] had said [redacted] had a discussion with [redacted] at the [redacted] to [redacted] during the [redacted] [redacted] in which [redacted] pleaded with [redacted] not to tell anyone, especially [redacted] about the rape of [redacted]. [redacted] denied that this conversation ever took place.

38. ID/OIOS attempted to verify the facts of [redacted]'s story, however, [redacted] was not located and no [redacted] attested to the scuffle taking place.

F. IDENTIFICATION PARADE

39. On [redacted] ID/OIOS conducted [redacted] physical identification parades featuring [redacted] of the [redacted] who were of similar age and appearance, including [redacted] and [redacted] each independently identified [redacted] as [redacted] as the [redacted] saw raping [redacted]; and [redacted] as the [redacted] known to [redacted] as [redacted].

G. [redacted]

40. On [redacted] ID/OIOS interviewed [redacted]. [redacted] the [redacted] was informed that ID/OIOS were investigating an allegation of rape against a [redacted]. [redacted] stated that [redacted] was not aware of any such allegation. Investigators informed [redacted] that they were surprised that [redacted] did not know of the allegation, given that [redacted] had attempted to speak to [redacted] on [redacted]. [redacted] told ID/OIOS that [redacted] had convened a [redacted] in relation to an allegation of what [redacted] termed a [redacted] between one of [redacted] and some [redacted] on the [redacted]. [redacted] stated [redacted] had no intimate knowledge of the investigation being carried out by the [redacted] and that [redacted] was aware that all sexual exploitation and abuse allegations had to be referred to the [redacted] for investigation. [redacted] stated that if [redacted] that the matter involves an allegation of rape [redacted] would stop the [redacted].

41. [redacted] was interviewed again by ID/OIOS on [redacted]. [redacted] told ID/OIOS that later on [redacted], after speaking to ID/OIOS [redacted] learned that the "scuffle" actually involved an allegation of sexual exploitation and abuse. [redacted] speaking with any [redacted] regarding the "scuffle" or a sexual exploitation and abuse allegation, but acknowledged that on [redacted] was told that there were [redacted] at the [redacted] and that they wanted to speak to [redacted] regarding a "scuffle". [redacted].

once the investigation was completed. stated that could not wait for the ID/OIOS report, as would come under pressure from the to make findings regarding the matter.

46. Also on ID/OIOS was advised that a was at the where and that was trying to locate to question ID/OIOS then located and spoke to the , who was dressed in ; refused to give or to produce any identification. stated that had been tasked by the to make inquiries into an allegation of assault regarding a also said that was trying to determine whether there was any basis to an allegation of sexual exploitation and abuse against the same.

47. On held a meeting with the and the . advised them that the conduct of the violated existing standard operating procedures for sexual exploitation and abuse investigation procedures and that the felt harassed and frightened after being repeatedly approached by also told them that any further investigation into this matter should cease until the completion of the ID/OIOS investigation. was sent from to ID/OIOS stating that the had been suspended until the completion of the ID/OIOS investigation.

VI. FINDINGS

48. has stated in that "the Administration is not required to prove its case reasonable doubt. It has only to present adequate evidence in support of its conclusions and recommendations. Adequate means 'reasonably sufficient for legal action', in other words, sufficient facts to permit a reasonable inference that a violation of law had occurred". ID/OIOS uses this standard of proof for mandated investigations.

49. ID/OIOS learned that and members of knew and that often came to and with and to the . claimed that did not know , that did not and to the but admitted that had often been in . However, many witnesses, including , claimed acquaintance with a named " " who stuttered, who and to them, and at times gave them ID/OIOS noted that has a speech impediment that causes to stutter badly. Additionally, and independently identified . The detailed and corroborating testimony of and other witnesses, as detailed in this report, is assessed as more credible than 's testimony.

50. ID/OIOS found that during the left the without permission; that went to the occupied by ; that gave and told them to go and ; that then took into where raped covering to stifle

cries for help. However, [REDACTED] claimed instead that [REDACTED] got into a "scuffle" with some [REDACTED]. The detailed and corroborating testimony of [REDACTED] and other witnesses, as detailed in this report, is assessed as more credible than [REDACTED]'s testimony.

51. ID/OIOS found that [REDACTED] entered the [REDACTED] and saw [REDACTED] with [REDACTED] pulled down, lying on top of [REDACTED] whose [REDACTED] was pulled up; that [REDACTED] was in a distressed state; and that blood was around [REDACTED]. A [REDACTED] the [REDACTED] established that [REDACTED] had abrasions on [REDACTED] redness [REDACTED], lacerations at [REDACTED], and a [REDACTED]. The detailed and corroborating testimony of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED] supports [REDACTED]'s claim that [REDACTED] was raped by [REDACTED] as detailed in this report.

52. ID/OIOS found that [REDACTED] in question, [REDACTED] approached [REDACTED] and other witnesses and pleaded with them not to report the allegation to anyone as [REDACTED] did not want to lose [REDACTED] with the [REDACTED] claimed [REDACTED] did no such thing. The detailed and corroborating testimony of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], as detailed in this report, is assessed as more credible than [REDACTED]'s testimony.

53. ID/OIOS found that officers of [REDACTED] learned of the rape on [REDACTED] and informed their [REDACTED] of the incident. The [REDACTED] claimed that they were informed that there had been a "scuffle" involving [REDACTED], but the detailed and corroborating testimony of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], as detailed in this report, that the various [REDACTED] they spoke to appeared to be aware of their allegation of rape and provided [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] for [REDACTED] is deemed more credible than the testimony of [REDACTED].

VII. CONCLUSIONS

54. ID/OIOS concludes that [REDACTED] raped [REDACTED], conduct for which [REDACTED] may be held criminally accountable.

55. ID/OIOS concludes that in raping [REDACTED], [REDACTED] committed an act of "serious misconduct" as defined by the Directives for Disciplinary Matters involving [REDACTED].

56. ID/OIOS concludes that [REDACTED]'s conduct is a violation of Rule 4 of the Code of Personal Conduct for [REDACTED] and Section 7.4 of ST/SGB/1999/13.

57. ID/OIOS notes that the conduct of the [REDACTED] as detailed in this report may warrant further investigation by the [REDACTED].

VIII. RECOMMENDATIONS

58. In view of the preceding findings, ID/OIOS makes the following recommendations:

Recommendation 1: It is recommended that the [REDACTED] provide a copy of this report to the [REDACTED] for appropriate action against [REDACTED] and that ID/OIOS receives advice of any action taken. [REDACTED]

