



United Nations

Nations Unies

**OFFICE OF INTERNAL OVERSIGHT SERVICES  
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION**

---

*This Report is protected by paragraph 18 of  
ST/SGB/273 of 7 September 1994*

**CLOSURE REPORT ON INTEGRITY ISSUES RELATING TO**

[REDACTED]

**REDACTED REPORT**

**ID Case No. 0007-08**

**23 JULY 2008**

**STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL**

This Investigation Report of the Investigations Division of the United Nations Office of Internal Oversight Services is provided upon your request pursuant to paragraph 1(c) of General Assembly resolution A/RES/59/272. The report has been redacted in part pursuant to paragraph 2 of this resolution to protect confidentiality and sensitive information. OIOS's transmission of this Report does not constitute its publication. OIOS does not bear any responsibility for any further dissemination of the Report.



TO: [REDACTED]

REFERENCE: ID [REDACTED]

FROM: [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: **Closure report on integrity issues relating to** [REDACTED]

1. On [REDACTED] the Investigations Division of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (ID/OIOS) received a report of possible misconduct implicating [REDACTED] from [REDACTED].

2. In [REDACTED] the [REDACTED] had completed [REDACTED] of the [REDACTED]. As part of their findings, a [REDACTED] was identified to be lacking sufficient justification as to the work performed. The [REDACTED] had been issued to [REDACTED] from [REDACTED]. Subsequent inquiries identified [REDACTED] as being the [REDACTED] responsible for the oversight of the noted [REDACTED]. A [REDACTED] allegation was later made by another source to indicate that [REDACTED] and a [REDACTED] had been provided the use of a [REDACTED] by [REDACTED] of the [REDACTED] in [REDACTED]. Inferences of possible sexual exploitation of [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] were also made alluding to return favours being provided to [REDACTED] for the issuance of the [REDACTED].

3. The matter was investigated by ID/OIOS [REDACTED] acknowledged [REDACTED] short comings in the administration of the [REDACTED], none of which however would constitute misconduct, as [REDACTED] performance appears to have been aggravated by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] issues. [REDACTED] was of the opinion that if [REDACTED] was present during the [REDACTED] the matter would have been resolved at the time. ID/OIOS concurs with this submission. Unfortunately however [REDACTED] was [REDACTED] at the time of the [REDACTED] and remains so to this current date.

4. [REDACTED] cooperated with the ID/OIOS investigation and provided documentation to verify [REDACTED] in a [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] with [REDACTED] denied any use of a [REDACTED] or sexual exploitation of any [REDACTED]. This evidence was corroborated by witnesses interviewed, including the [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED].

5. ID/OIOS also reviewed all [REDACTED] between the implicated parties. There was no communication whatsoever that would indicate any sort of relationship beyond their professional capacities.

6. ID/OIOS concludes that there is no credible evidence to conclude misconduct by [REDACTED] or [REDACTED].

7. ID/OIOS considers its investigation closed and has informed [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] accordingly. The [REDACTED] has also been informally advised of the ID/OIOS findings.

