



United Nations

Nations Unies

**OFFICE OF INTERNAL OVERSIGHT SERVICES
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION**

*This Report is protected by paragraph 18 of
ST/SGB/273 of 7 September 1994*

INVESTIGATION REPORT ON THE FRAUDULENT USE OF



REDACTED REPORT

ID Case No. 0014-09

23 JUNE 2009

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

This Investigation Report of the Investigations Division of the United Nations Office of Internal Oversight Services is provided upon your request pursuant to paragraph 1(c) of General Assembly resolution A/RES/59/272. The report has been redacted in part pursuant to paragraph 2 of this resolution to protect confidentiality and sensitive information. OIOS's transmission of this Report does not constitute its publication. OIOS does not bear any responsibility for any further dissemination of the Report.

I. INTRODUCTION

1. On [REDACTED] the Investigations Division of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (OIOS) received a report of possible misconduct implicating United Nations personnel in [REDACTED]
2. Specifically, [REDACTED] reported that [REDACTED] had fraudulently utilized the [REDACTED] to other [REDACTED] in order to obtain [REDACTED].
3. OIOS conducted investigations into the matter and found that [REDACTED] had misused the [REDACTED] of [REDACTED]
4. This report details the investigation into [REDACTED] participation and involvement in the reported misconduct.

II. APPLICABLE LEGAL NORMS

5. ST/AI/1999/7 – Consultants and Individual Contractors (1 September 1999)
Section 5 –
Standards of conduct
5.5 ...During the period of their service for the United Nations, [REDACTED] shall refrain from any conduct that would adversely reflect on the United Nations and shall not engage in any activity that is incompatible with the aims and objectives of the Organization.

III. IMPLICATED PERSONNEL

6. [REDACTED] a [REDACTED] began [REDACTED] with the Organization in [REDACTED] as [REDACTED] with [REDACTED] - a post that [REDACTED] abandoned and from which [REDACTED] was subsequently separated on [REDACTED]

IV. METHODOLOGY

7. The OIOS investigation included, but was not limited to the analysis of [REDACTED]
 - i) [REDACTED] of [REDACTED]; and
 - ii) [REDACTED] documentation identifying the [REDACTED] assigned to [REDACTED] and/or [REDACTED] from which fraudulent [REDACTED] originated.
8. Finally, OIOS interviewed all relevant witnesses and subjects pertaining to this matter.

V. BACKGROUND

[REDACTED]

9. Due to an increase in [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] experienced [REDACTED] congestion which impacted upon their operational capability. Therefore a [REDACTED] required to [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] that were not [REDACTED]. As the [REDACTED] is limited [REDACTED] the [REDACTED] were only provided to [REDACTED] with [REDACTED]. These [REDACTED] also enabled [REDACTED] to place [REDACTED] at a [REDACTED] than that offered by the [REDACTED]. As a result [REDACTED] only [REDACTED] could be [REDACTED] without a [REDACTED] all other [REDACTED] required the [REDACTED] to input their [REDACTED].

10. According to the [REDACTED] 'Guidelines on the Use of [REDACTED] catered for the demand for [REDACTED].

11. The [REDACTED] have the capability of retrieving [REDACTED] input by [REDACTED] without the need for [REDACTED]. Therefore, individual [REDACTED] assigned to [REDACTED] were compromised once they utilized the [REDACTED] allocated to other [REDACTED].

VI. INVESTIGATIVE DETAILS

A. [REDACTED]-ASSISTED IDENTIFICATION OF SUBJECT

12. After receiving complaints from [REDACTED] about [REDACTED] to their [REDACTED] that had not been personally incurred, [REDACTED] and OIOS collaborated in the identification of possible subjects. The results revealed that [REDACTED] incurred charges for [REDACTED] for the [REDACTED] that originated from the [REDACTED] to [REDACTED].

B. ANALYSIS OF [REDACTED]

13. All relevant information from the respective [REDACTED] was entered into [REDACTED]. This data was then analyzed by sorting and cross-referencing the [REDACTED] with the [REDACTED], which were then matched with the [REDACTED] to those [REDACTED]. The disputed [REDACTED] produced by the [REDACTED] contained [REDACTED] implicating [REDACTED] in the misuse of [REDACTED]. In addition, the analysis undertaken by OIOS extrapolated further [REDACTED] which were possibly misused by [REDACTED].

14. OIOS calculated that the [REDACTED] totalled [REDACTED] in [REDACTED]. The affected [REDACTED] and a summary of the [REDACTED] are depicted in [REDACTED].

[REDACTED]

C. INTERVIEW WITH THE SUBJECT

15. On [REDACTED], OIOS interviewed [REDACTED] who confirmed that [REDACTED] was allocated to [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] by [REDACTED] at the time, [REDACTED] who subsequently left the [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] was never asked to [REDACTED] nor to return it after [REDACTED]

16. [REDACTED] confirmed that [REDACTED] was not issued with [REDACTED] but instead utilized the [REDACTED] provided to [REDACTED] by a [REDACTED]. According to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] saw [REDACTED] using a [REDACTED] when making a [REDACTED] [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] to give [REDACTED] could utilize the [REDACTED] otherwise [REDACTED] would report [REDACTED] to security. [REDACTED] obliged and provided instructions on how to use [REDACTED]

17. Apparently [REDACTED] obtained the [REDACTED] from people in [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] recited the names [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

18. [REDACTED] claimed that when [REDACTED] utilized the [REDACTED] did not know that it was allocated to [REDACTED] on [REDACTED] basis. [REDACTED] assumed that the [REDACTED] was for general use for all [REDACTED]

VII. FINDINGS

19. OIOS finds that [REDACTED] fraudulently misused the [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] in order to make [REDACTED] for which [REDACTED] did not [REDACTED] totalling [REDACTED] in [REDACTED].

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

20. Based on the evidence collected and the findings above, OIOS concludes that [REDACTED] contravened the standards of conduct pursuant to the terms of [REDACTED] obligations with the United Nations.

IX. RECOMMENDATIONS

21. In view of the preceding findings, OIOS makes the following recommendation:

Recommendation 1: It is recommended that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] take appropriate action with regard to [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

